



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

140

CRWP-2359-2025

Date of decision: March 10th, 2025

Tamanya Devi and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Ms. Tanya Vashist and Mr. S.S. Nain, Advocates
for the petitioners.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 and 3, to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners, who apprehend threat to their life at the hands of private respondents No.4 to 7, who are stated to be family members/relatives of petitioner No.1.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners got married on 06.03.2025, against the wishes of family members of petitioner No.1, arrayed as respondents No.4 to 7. Ever since then, the petitioners are being threatened with dire consequences by the private respondents. Resultantly, a representation dated 06.03.2025 (Annexure P-4) was also made to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Karnal, for protection of their life and liberty, but in vain. Learned counsel submits that the petitioners would be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Karnal, to look into their representation (Annexure P-4) and take appropriate steps at the earliest.

3. Notice of motion to official respondents only.
4. On asking of the Court, Mr. Arun Kumar Singla, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.
5. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with a directions to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Karnal, to look into the representation dated 06.03.2025 (Annexure P-4) qua the alleged threat perception and take necessary steps, as may be required, in accordance with law, to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents. However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to have taken place between the parties and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

March 10th, 2025
Puneet

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No