

**CWP-6717-2025****1**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(120)**CWP-6717-2025****Date of Decision : March 11, 2025****Mandeep Kaur and another****.. Petitioners**

Versus

State of Punjab and another**.. Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. T.P.S. Chawla, Sr. Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. In the present writ petition, the grievance being raised by the petitioners is that the employee junior to the petitioners is getting higher pay hence, in view of the notification dated 22.08.2019 (Annexure P-7) and order dated 22.08.2022 (Annexure P-8) the pay of the petitioners needs to be stepped up and brought up at par with that of employee junior to the petitioner, which benefit is not being granted to the petitioners.

2. From the pleadings, it transpires that the grievance being raised in the present writ petition has already been raised by the petitioners in the legal notice dated 11.12.2024 (Annexure P-9), which is still pending consideration with the respondents and the interest of justice will be served in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said claim put forth in the legal notice by passing an appropriate speaking order.

**CWP-6717-2025****2**

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. T.P.S. Chawla, learned Sr. Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

5. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case the legal notice qua the claim of the petitioner dated 11.12.2024 (Annexure P-9) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order and in case, after the decision qua the said claim, any relief is to be extended to the petitioners, the same will be extended, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned in the speaking order to be passed for not accepting the claim of the petitioners for their information and necessary action.

6. Keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, no orders are required to be passed in the present writ petition at this stage and the same is accordingly disposed of.

March 11, 2025
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No