



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

691

CWP-3797-2004 (O&M)

Date of decision: 04.04.2025

Ranbir Singh Dhillon

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : None for the petitioner

Mr. Arun Gupta, DAG Punjab

Mr. B.S. Naher, Advocate for respondent No.4

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer made in the present petition for quashing the impugned letters as also directing the respondents to treat the petitioner still in service and released due promotion, might not due to efflux of time, even be surviving.
2. Learned State counsel refers to para 46 (viii) of the written statement dated 16.05.2005 filed on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3, wherein it has been stated that the petitioner as a matter of fact was retired on his own request submitted on 12.09.1999, Annexure R-1 and accordingly, all benefits, to which he was entitled, were released, there being no rebuttal to the same by filing any replicaton.
3. Disposed of, reserving the petitioner with a liberty to get it revived, in case *lis* still subsists.

**(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

04.04.2025

M.Kamra

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No