



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No. 127

CRWP-2323-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision:07.03.2025

Aarti Devi and another

...Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Ajay Aggarwal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

-

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J.(ORAL)

1. Present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for directing official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners from the hands of respondents No.4 to 6.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that both the petitioners are major and have married against the wishes of respondents No.4 to 6 and have sought protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from respondents No.4 to 6. Their marriage certificate evidencing their marriage is on record as Annexure P-3. The petitioners have submitted a representation dated 04.03.2025 (Annexure P-4) to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Kaithal.

3. Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3-State only.

4. On asking of the Court, Mr. Tanuj Sharma, AAG, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents/State.



CRWP-2323-2025

5. Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a direction to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Kaithal, to decide the representation dated 04.03.2025 (Annexure P-4) of the petitioners and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order would not be understood having expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of the marriage of the petitioners.

**(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE**

**07.03.2025
dinesh**

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No