



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

314

CRM-M-13479-2025

Date of decision: May 08, 2025

SANJEEV KUMAR AND OTHERS

...Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Sanpreet Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Karan Sharma, DAG, Haryana.


Mr. Kuldeep Sheoran, Advocate
for respondents No.2 to 5.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. Petitioners are seeking the quashing of FIR No.128 dated 09.03.2023 registered under Sections 148, 149, 323, 325, 506 IPC at Police Station, City Jagadhari, District Yamuna Nagar and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of a compromise dated 11.02.2025 (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties.

2. Vide order dated 11.03.2025 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate on 09.04.2025 to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

3. Report has since been received from learned CJ(SD)-cum-ACJM, Yamuna Nagar, in pursuance of the directions of this Court, wherein the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified

**CRM-M-13479-2025****-2-**

and confirmed. As per the report, compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will, and the complainants have also made statement to the effect that they would have no objection if the FIR qua the accused-petitioners is quashed.

4. The trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in original, along with its report.

5. Reply by way of an affidavit of the Deputy Superintendent of Police, District Yamuna Nagar has been filed in the Court today, which is taken on record subject to all just exceptions. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioners and respondents No.2 to 5 are the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

6. In view of the report of the learned trial Court, and the principles laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court in ***Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303***, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052***, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed.

7. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

May 08, 2025*Jaspreet Kaur***(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)****JUDGE**

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>