2025:PHHC:036116

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

213 **FAO-1360-2001** (O&M)
Date of Decision: 17.03.2025

BAGRI RAM

... Appellant

V/S

SHRI DES RAJ AND ORS.

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present: Ms. Maneet Kaushik, Advocate for
Mr. Ashit Malik, Advocate for the appellant.

Ms. Manvi Verma, Advocate for
Mr. Rajneesh Malhotra, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

***

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (ORAL)

1. Despite grant of several opportunities, legal representatives of the deceased-appellant have not come forward for being impleaded in place of the appellant, who is stated to have expired.

2. It seems that they are not interested in pursuing the appeal.

3. Dismissed in default for want of prosecution.

4. Liberty is, however, granted to them to seek revival of the appeal, in case, they intend to pursue it.

17.03.2025 **(SUVIR SEHGAL)**
pooja saini **JUDGE**

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	*Yes/No*
Whether Reportable	*Yes/No*

Pooja Saini
2025.03.20 13:24
I attest the integrity and
accuracy of this order/judgment