



247

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-13574-2025
Date of Decision:27.03.2025**

GURINDER SINGH BATTH

...PETITIONER

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB

...RESPONDENT

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Sanjay Singh Sandhawalia, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepinder Singh Brar, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 with a prayer to grant regular bail to him in case FIR No.135 dated 29.11.2023, registered under Sections 406, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471, 120-B & 201 of IPC & Sections 24 & 25 of Immigration Act Police Station Phase 11, SAS Nagar.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in fact the allegations were primarily levelled against a firm M/s English Guru Immigration and the petitioner was only working as an employee in the said firm. He further contends that even during the course of investigation, it was discovered that the firm was owned by Gurpreet Singh son of Kaka Singh. Even learned counsel has placed reliance on the Rent Deed & CA certificate



(Annexure P-2) to contend that the Rend Deed was executed by the landlord in favour of Gurpreet Singh, co-accused only. The petitioner was arrested in the present case on 08.02.2024 and is in custody since then. He further submits that even though several cases have been ordered to be registered against the petitioner with similar allegations, but the petitioner has been granted the concession of bail in most of the cases.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that he is a habitual offender and he does not deserve the concession of bail by this Court.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

5. In the present case, the FIR was initially registered against a firm M/s English Guru Immigration owned by Gurpreet Singh and the petitioner has been found to be an employee in the said firm. The petitioner is stated to be in custody since 08.02.2024 and challan has already been presented against him. Even no witness has been examined so far and further custody of the petitioner will not serve any useful purpose.

6. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate, concerned.

27.03.2025
vipin

(N.S. SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No