



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

210

CRM-M-13246-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision: 04.11.2025

ANGREJ SINGH
Versus
STATE OF PUNJAB
...Petitioner
...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Amit Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Jasjit Singh, DAG, Punjab

AMAN CHAUDHARY. J.

1. Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 482 BNSS, 2023 is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.0184 dated 06.10.2024, registered under Section 420 of IPC, 1860, at Police Station Beas, District Amritsar Rural (Annexure P-1).
2. On 10.03.2025, this Court had passed the following order:-

Anticipatory Bail Under Section			482 BNSS
FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
184	06.10.2024	Beas, District Amritsar Rural	420 IPC

Notice served upon the official respondent through the State’s counsel.

The concerned Investigator/SHO is to file/hand over the status report/instructions positively before the next date, failing which the concerned Deputy Superintendent of Police shall file/hand over their Reply/Response/Instructions/Status report. If it is still not filed, the officer superior to the concerned DSP shall have to file it.

The Reply/Response/Instructions/Status report shall also



mention the following, under headings:

- A. The role of the petitioner.
- B. The evidence against the petitioner.
- C. In case of dismissal of this peon, would the police arrest the petitioner in this FIR, and do they need the accused's police custody?
List on 18.03.2025."

3. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance to the order dated 10.03.2025 passed by this Court, the petitioner has not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, he shall make himself available without demur.
4. Learned State counsel on instructions from Investigating Officer affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioner and cooperating with the investigating agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.
5. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 10.03.2025 granting interim bail to him, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. (now 482(2) of BNSS, 2023).
6. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to him.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

04.11.2025
Vishal Vardhan

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No