

222

2025:PHHC:110481



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM M-13502 of 2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 21.08.2025**

Ramlakhan		...Petitioner
	Versus	
State of Haryana		... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.S.SHEKHAWAT

Present : Mr. Anmol Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajiv Sidhu, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

N.S.SHEKHAWAT, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the instant petition under Section 483 of B.N.S.S., 2023 with a prayer to grant a regular bail in case FIR No.315 dated 08.08.2023 registered under Sections 506, 341, 324 read with Section 34 of IPC (Section 307 IPC added later on) at Police Station Sector 13/17, District Panipat.

2. The FIR in the present case has been registered on the basis of the statement made by Waqil Saroj and the same has been reproduced below:-

“To The S.H.O. Sahab, Police Station Sector 13-17, Panipat. Sir, It is requested that I, Waqil Saroj son of Lakhan Saroj, am resident of Bela Pathanpur, District Azamgarh, UP, currently residing in House No.18L, Prakash Nagar Tehsil Camp, Panipat. My brother Ramu Saroj S/O Lakhan Saroj also lives with us and my brother Ramu Saroj supplies Kacchi Chowmein in Panipat. Some time ago, my brother Ramu Saroj had an altercation with a boy named Ram, who lived in our neighborhood. regarding some issue, due to which on 05.08.2023 in the evening my brother Ramu Saroj on his motorcycle no.HRI1J4047 was supplying chowmein on HF-DELUXE, when my brother reached the petrol pump on Barsat Road, then a boy named Ram along with his two other friends stopped motor cycle of my brother Ramu Saroj from the rear, then one of the boys stabbed my brother Ramu Saroj twice on the waist with an ice pick in his hand, after which my brother fell unconscious on the spot and all of them threatened to kill my brother and fled from the spot. Action may be taken against all of them. Applicant Waqil Saroj son of Lakhan Saroj”.

3. learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely involved in the present case by alleging that he had caused injuries to Ramu Saroj by using an ice pick. In fact, the occurrence had taken place on 05th August 2023, whereas the FIR was registered on 08th August 2023, i.e., after a delay of 03 days and the petitioner was assigned a false role by the complainant in the FIR due to some old enmity. Learned counsel further contends that it

has been alleged that the petitioner was carrying an ice pick and caused injuries on the back of the injured, whereas, the medical evidence clearly proved that the injuries were not caused with an ice pick. The petitioner was arrested on 12th October 2023 and is in custody for the last about 01 year and 10 months. He further contends that the prosecution has been able to examine only 03 witnesses out of 16 witnesses so far. The conclusion of the trial may take quite a long time. He refers to the order dated 17.07.2024 (Annexure P-5) passed by this Court in CRM-M-29701 of 2024, whereby, the concession of bail has been allowed to Sourabh, co-accused.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that there are serious allegations against the petitioner and the petitioner is not entitled for the concession of regular bail.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

6. In the present case, the petitioner is stated to be in custody for the last more than 01 year and 10 months. Even, the injured has already been discharged in the present case and the conclusion of the trial may take quite a long time.

7. In view of the above, without commenting any further on the merits, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the

satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate/CJM concerned.

21.08.2025
amit rana

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No