



116

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-6839-2024 (O&M)
Date of decision: 22.12.2025

Balram Sharma

... Petitioner

Vs.

Haryana Tourism Corporation Ltd. and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Vinod Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikrant Pamboo, Addl. AG, Haryana.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

CM-11105-CWP-2025

1. This application has been filed under Order 6 Rule 17 read with Section 151 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 and Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking amendment of the main petition and for placing on record the amended petition.
2. In view of the averments made in the application, same is allowed. Amended writ petition is taken on record subject to all just exceptions.
3. Registry is directed to do the needful.

**CWP-6839-2024**

4. Present civil writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of *certiorari* seeking quashing of the orders dated 24.03.2023, 18.05.2023, 03.07.2023, 14.07.2023 (Annexures P-4, P-8, P-11 & P-12 respectively), show cause notice dated 28.07.2023 (Annexure P-14), whereby recovery was effected from monthly salary of the petitioner for the months of October and November, 2023, charge sheet dated 07.03.2024 (Annexure P-20) and promotion order dated 22.05.2025 (Annexure P-24), vide which his juniors have been promoted to the post of Manager-cum-Accountant and further to issue a writ in the nature of *mandamus* directing the official respondents to promote the petitioner to the post of Manager-cum-Accountant from the deemed date i.e. 22.05.2025, from which his juniors have been promoted along with all the consequential benefits.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner, *inter alia*, contends that the petitioner has challenged the charge sheet issued on 01.03.2024 (Annexure P-20) by filing the instant writ petition and during pendency of the same, disciplinary proceedings stand culminated on 26.09.2025 and Inquiry Officer submitted his report. Despite that, the punishing/competent authority is sitting over the inquiry report and is not proceeding further in the matter.

6. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner confines his prayer limited to the extent that the competent authority may be directed to pass an appropriate order pursuant to the inquiry report, in accordance with



law, in a time bound manner.

7. Learned State counsel could not controvert the aforesaid factual position and he has no objection, in case a direction is issued to the competent authority to pass an appropriate order.

8. In view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, present petition is disposed of at this stage and the competent authority is directed to act upon the findings recorded by the Inquiry Officer and pass an appropriate order within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

9. The pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

[HARPREET SINGH BRAR]
JUDGE

22.12.2025
vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No