



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-3012-2009(O&M)

Date of decision: 03.03.2025

Gurbachan Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Ms. Kuljeet Kaur, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Kiran Pal Singh, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Ajay Singh Ghangas, Advocate *Amicus Curiae*
for respondent No. 2.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

Present petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'Cr.P.C.') for quashing of criminal Complaint No.162-1 of 2003 dated 04.07.2004 filed under Sections 453, 379, 166, 188, 506 read with Section 34 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC') and order dated 01.02.2008 whereby petitioners were summoned to face trial under Sections 452, 506 read with Section 34 of IPC.

(2) This Court on 30.01.2025, passed the following order:-

“On the previous date of hearing i.e. 21.01.2025, none came forward on behalf of petitioners. In view of the above, this Court vacated the interim stay which was continuing for the last more than 15 years. Today also, no one has come forward on behalf of petitioners.

In view of the above, it appears that petitioners are not interested in pursuing the matter.

Posted for 03.03.2025.



In the meanwhile, Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Tohana, is requested to send the status of complaint No.162-C dated 04.07.2003.

Copy of this order be sent to the quarter concerned forthwith for compliance.”

(3) In compliance of aforesaid order, status report dated 25.02.2025 from learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Tohana has been received and operative part of the same is recapitulated as under:-

“On dated 18.02.2025, an application for restoration of the aforementioned complaint was moved to withdraw the same. Resultantly, file was received from the record room and the same was ordered to be restored on the even date as the same was ordered to be sine-die vide order dated 02.12.2010 passed by the then learned SDJM, Tohana. Consequently, complainant appeared before the court and vide his separate statement stated that the matter has been compromised, so he does not want to proceed further with the present complaint and wants to withdraw the same. In view of the statement made by the complainant present complaint was ordered to be dismissed as withdrawn.”

(4) Perusal of the above extract clearly reveals that respondent No.2 has withdrawn the aforesaid complaint and as a result thereof, nothing survives in the present petition.

(5) Consequently, present petition is disposed off.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

03.03.2025
Harish Kumar

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No