



133

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-2287-2025

Date of decision : 07.03.2025

Lakhvir Singh and another**.....Petitioners**

versus

State of Punjab and others**.....Respondents****CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ**

Present :- Mr. Khushkaran Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in this petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is for directing respondents No.1 to 4 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners from the hands of respondents No.5 and also for not harassing the petitioners on account of any false criminal complaint, if lodged by respondent No.5 (family member) or any other relative.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that both the petitioners are major and have married against the wishes of respondent No.5 and have sought protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from respondent No.5. Their marriage certificate evidencing their marriage is on record as Annexure P-3. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that petitioners have filed representation dated 01.03.2025 (Annexure P-4) before respondent No.3 i.e. The Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

3. Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 4.

4. On asking of the Court, Mr. Tarun Aggarwal, Sr. D.A.G., Punjab, notice on behalf of the respondents-State.

**CRWP-2287-2025****-2-**

5. Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a direction to respondent No.3-Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana, to decide the representation dated 01.03.2025 (Annexure P-4) of the petitioners and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order would not be understood having expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of the marriage of the petitioners.

6. Disposed of.

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

07.03.2025*ps-I*

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No