

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

2025:PHHC:146861



(101-A)

CRM-M-12229-2020  
Decided on : 28.10.2025

Kalu Ram and others

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondent(s)

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL**

Present: Mr. Deepak Girotra, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Tarun Aggarwal, Addl.AG, Haryana.

Ms. Prannita Singh, Advocate for  
Mr. Arjun Sheoran, Advocate  
for respondent No.2/complainant.

\*\*\*\*\*

**Sumeet Goel (Oral):**

1. Apprehending their arrest in FIR No.352 dated 03.12.2018 registered for offences punishable under Sections 120-B, 153-A, 504, 505, 506 IPC and Sections 3(1) (n)(q)(r)(z) (za), 3(2) (v) of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989, at Police

Station Sadar Hansi, District Hisar; the petitioners have preferred this petition under Section 438 of Cr.P.C., seeking pre-arrest bail.

2. On 13.05.2020, the following order was passed by this Court:

*“Notice of motion for 14.07.2020.*

*At this stage, Ms. Tanisha Peshawaria, D.A.G, Haryana and Mr. Arjun Sheoran, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State and complainant respectively.*

*To be heard along with CRM-M-12218-2020.*

*Keeping in view the order passed in Annexure P-3 to P-5, this Court is converting this petition into regular bail application and accordingly, the petitioners are directed to be released on regular bail subject to the satisfaction of Illaqa Magistrate/Trial Court/Duty Magistrate, Hansi.”*

3. The said order was challenged before the Hon’ble Supreme Court and the same has been upheld vide order dated 08.10.2025 passed in SLP (Crl.) No.2900 of 2020, relevant whereof reads thus:

*“1. We have heard learned counsel for the respective parties.*

*2.Learned standing counsel for the respondent-State submitted that the impugned order is dated 13.05.2020; that respondent-accused(s) have been granted the benefit of bail; that they are also cooperating with the trial; there is also peace prevailing in the village.*

*3. In the circumstances, we do not think it just and proper to interfere in the matters.*

*4. Hence, the Special Leave Petitions are dismissed.*

*5. Pending applications including deletion shall stand disposed of”*

4. Keeping in view the factual milieu of the case in hand, especially the factum of the interim order dated 13.05.2020 passed by this Court having affirmed by Hon’ble Supreme Court and there is no allegation of having misused the concession of interim bail earlier afforded to him, the petition is allowed and the order dated 13.05.2020 granting bail

to the petitioner is hereby made absolute, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Cr.P.C.

5. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

6. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Cr.P.C. or upon showing any other sufficient cause.

7. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

8. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

**28.10.2025**  
*Naveen*

**(SUMEET GOEL)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned :  
Whether Reportable :

Yes/No  
Yes/No