

CRM-M-13119-2025
Date of decision: 02.04.2025

....Petitioners

Versus

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. Ramanpreet Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Rajinder Singh Bhatta, DAG Punjab.

Mr. J.S. Chahal, Advocate for respondent No.2.

• • • • •

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023, petitioners seek quashing of DDR No.20 dated 02.11.2024 under Sections 115(2), 118(1), 126(2), 3(5) BNS at P.S. Kharar, District S.A.S Nagar (Mohali) (Annexure P-1) in case FIR (Annexure P-2) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 28.01.2025 (Annexure P-3) executed between the parties. Details of the FIR are as follows:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
280	02.11.2024	115(2), 118(1), 126(2), 3(5) BNS	Kharar, District S.A.S Nagar (Mohali)



2. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise dated 28.01.2025 (Annexure P-3), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. Learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Kharar, SAS Nagar vide report dated 28.03.2025, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure. In compliance to the order dated 11.03.2025 an amount of Rs.20,000/- has been deposited with the Society for the Care of Blind, Sector 26, Chandigarh and receipt thereof is annexed with the report.

3. Respondent No. 2 is represented by his counsel and does not oppose the compromise.

4. In view of the report of the learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Kharar, SAS Nagar, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainants have compromised the dispute with the petitioners/ accused persons.

5. In these circumstances, the present petition is allowed. DDR No.20 dated 02.11.2024 under Sections 115(2), 118(1), 126(2), 3(5) BNS

at P.S. Kharar, District S.A.S Nagar (Mohali) (Annexure P-1) in case FIR (Annexure P-2) No.280 dated 02.11.2024 under Sections 115(2), 118(1), 126(2), 3(5) BNS P.S. Kharar, District S.A.S Nagar (Mohali) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

02.04.2025
puneet

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |