



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

135

**CWP-6762-2025****Date of Decision: 11.03.2025**

Tarsem Singh @ Tarsem Singh Rana

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

Union of India and others

.....Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL**

Present: Dr. Puneet K. Sekhon, Advocate,  
for Mr. M.S. Virk, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. S.P. Jain, Addl. Solicitor General of India  
(appearing through video conferencing),  
with Mr. Ashish Rawal, Sr. Panel Counsel,  
for Union of India.

Mr. M.S. Sidhu, Addl. Standing Counsel  
and Mr. Karanvir Singh, Panel Counsel,  
for respondent Nos.2 to 4.

**JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)**

1. At the outset, learned counsel for the respondents, who on advance notice are present in Court, submit that petitioner is former employee of U.T., Chandigarh Police. He has alternative remedy to approach Central Administrative Tribunal, Chandigarh. Thus, instant petition should not be entertained.

2. Dr. Puneet K. Sekhon, learned counsel for the petitioner expressed her inability to controvert the aforesaid fact and legal position. She seeks permission to withdraw with liberty to approach Central Administrative Tribunal, Chandigarh.

3. Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to approach Central Administrative Tribunal, Chandigarh.

11.03.2025

shivani

Whether reasoned/speaking

Whether reportable

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)  
JUDGE

Yes/No

Yes/No