



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(203)

RSA-2699-1995
Date of Decision:-13.11.2025

Sardar Khan

.....Appellant

Versus

Mohammad Rafiq and Others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: None for the appellant.

AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (Oral)

1. As per report of the Registry, the notice issued to the sole appellant has been received back with the report that he has expired.
2. A perusal of the case file reveals that on the last date of hearing, i.e. 15.10.2024, learned counsel for the appellant had submitted that he had no instructions in the matter from the appellant. However, today, there is no representation on behalf of the appellant. It, therefore, appears that the appellant is not interested in pursuing the present appeal.
3. Accordingly, the present appeal is dismissed in default for want of prosecution.
4. However, liberty is granted to the appellant to seek revival of the appeal, in case anything worthwhile survives for consideration.
5. Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

(AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

13.11.2025
Shubham

Whether speaking/reasoned:-	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:-	Yes/No