



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(218)

CWP No. 7540 of 2019 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 25.07.2025

Sushil Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

Punjab and Haryana High Court at Chandigarh and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Rajesh Bhatheja, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Karan Sachdeva, Advocate with
Mr. Saurav Gumbal, Advocate for respondents No. 1 to 3.

Mr. Umesh Kanwar, Advocate
for respondents No. 10, 12, 14 and 16.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the claim of the petitioner is for directing the respondents No. 1 to 3 to consider and post/adjust the petitioner in Ferozepur Sessions Division.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the claim of the petitioner is that though, he was initially appointed as clerk-cum-Typist and was further promoted to the post of Reader Grade-III in Ferozepur Session Division but after that Session Division Fazilka was carved out of Session Division Ferozepur, the petitioner was allocated Fazilka Session Division instead of Ferozepur Session Division. The said Session Division was carved out in the year 2018 and the petitioner is working in Session Division Fazilka since then.

3. On instructions, learned counsel for the respondent submits that keeping in view the post occupied by the petitioner i.e. of Reader Grade-III, there are only five such posts existing in the Session Division Ferozepur and all



such post in Sessions Division, Ferozepur have already been occupied and, therefore, there is no room of accommodating the petitioner in any manner in Sessions Division, Ferozepur even if, the District & Sessions Judge, Fazilka agrees to relieve the petitioner.

3. Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in case, any of the post becomes available in the Session Division, Ferozepur, against which the petitioner can be accommodated, liberty be given to the petitioner to file appropriate representation.

4. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case, any representation is received from the petitioner henceforth for adjustment, the same will be considered in accordance with law and in case, keeping in view the fact that the petitioner is handicapped employee, appropriate decision will be taken within a period of eight weeks of the receipt of any such representation.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in view of the statement of learned counsel for the respondents, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

6. Petition is disposed of with liberty as prayed for.

7. Pending miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

July 25, 2025
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No