

2025:PHHC:171871



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

237

CRM-M-19527-2021

Date of decision: 09.12.2025

RESHAM SINGH AND OTHERS

..PETITIONERS

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

..RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. GREWAL

Present: Mr. Vipin Mahajan, Sr. Advocate with
Ms. Chandanpreet Kaur Ahluwalia, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Rohit Hans, DAG, Punjab.

Ms. Komal Bishnoi, Advocate
for Mr. Shekhar Verma, Advocate
for respondent No.6.

H.S. GREWAL, J.(ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of illegal and second enquiry report dated 25.01.2021 (Annexure P-7) conducted by the police department to help accused since one of them is a retired police officer. Further prayer has been made for issuance of directions to respondents No.4 and 5 to submit final report dated 01.10.2020 (Annexure P-5) under Section 173(8) Cr.P.C. prepared in the cross case registered vide Rapat

2025.PHHC.171871



CRM-M-19527-2021

-2-

No.20 dated 27.06.2020 under Sections 307, 324, 323, 341, 148, 149 IPC and Sections 25, 27 of Arms Act and also to conclude investigation in main FIR No.124 dated 20.06.2020 U/s 307, 324, 148, 149 and 25-27 of Arms Act P.S. Ghuman, (Annexure P-1) by submitting status report before this Court. It is also prayed not to conduct repeated enquiries as the same is against the well settled law laid down by this Court in CRM-M-16013 of 2020, decided on 18.03.2021.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on the statement of petitioner No.5-Charanjeet Kaur, FIR No. 124 dated 20.06.2020, under Sections 307, 324, 148, 149 IPC and Sections 25 and 27 of the Arms Act was registered against respondent No.6 and his two sons namely, Harpreet Singh and Gurpreet Singh. Subsequently, on 27.06.2020, respondent No.6-Sukhdev Singh got registered a cross case against the petitioners alleging that he had sustained injuries at the instance of the petitioners.

3. Learned Senior counsel for the petitioners submits that after due investigation in the cross case, the Investigating officer recommended its cancellation, which was duly approved by Superintendent of Police (Investigation), Batala. Thereafter, on a representation submitted by respondent No.6, an inquiry was marked to Dilbagh Singh, Superintendent of Police, Gurdaspur who while



ignoring the earlier inquiry as well as the cancellation report, recommended arrest of the petitioners and filing of challan against them. It is further submitted that the cancellation report had been prepared after a detailed investigation wherein it was found that no injury had been sustained by respondent No.6-Sukhdev Singh. In the CCTV footage recorded from the camera installed near the place of occurrence, respondent No.6-Sukhdev Singh could be seen going back after the occurrence normally without any injury on his person. Reliance has also been placed upon the judgment passed by this Court in CRM-M-16013 of 2020, wherein it has been held that the Cr.P.C. does not envisage parallel or multiple inquiries once the investigation has been conducted by a competent Investigating Officer and a final report has been prepared.

4. Learned Senior counsel further submits that the petitioners are aggrieved by repeated inquiries being conducted at the instance of respondent No.6 and, therefore, prays that the earlier cancellation report be directed to be submitted before the learned trial Court. He would be satisfied if the directions be issued to official respondents in this regard.

5. Learned State counsel, while referring to the reply filed by way of an affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sri Hargobindpur, Police District Batala, District Gurdaspur, submits that although a cancellation report was initially prepared in the cross case

**CRM-M-19527-2021****-4-**

but before its submission, the complainant/respondent No.6 appeared before the Inspector General of Police, Border Range, Amritsar, seeking a fair and impartial investigation in the cross-case. Consequently, the inquiry was marked to the Superintendent of Police, Punjab Bureau of Investigation, Gurdaspur. Upon inquiry, Akashdeep Kaur @ Vikas was found innocent, whereas the other accused, including the petitioners, were found guilty. Learned State counsel also submits that the main case is still under investigation and no action has been taken in the cross-case as of now.

6. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and carefully perused the material available on record.

7. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case and keeping in view the limited prayer of the petitioners, it is directed that the final investigation report be prepared and submitted before the learned trial Court within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order in accordance with law.

8. The present petition stands disposed of accordingly.

December 09, 2025

Poonam Sharma

**(H.S. GREWAL)
JUDGE**Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No
Whether Reportable Yes/No