



**116 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

CRWP-2242-2025

Date of Decision:10.03.2025

DIKSHA PUNDIR AND ANOTHER

....Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Balwan Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

KARAMJIT SINGH, J.(Oral)

Petitioners are praying for direction to respondents No.2 & 3 to provide protection to their lives and liberty from the hands of private respondents No.4 to 6.

2. In the petition, it has been stated that both the petitioners are into live-in-relationship which has been opposed by private respondents No.4 to 6.

3. Counsel for the petitioners, *inter alia*, submits that petitioner No.1 an unmarried female aged about 20 years and petitioner No.2 a bachelor aged about 20 years are living together with their own free will and consent against the wishes of respondents No.4 to 6. That the said respondents are extending threats to cause physical harm to the petitioners.

The matter was reported to the police vide representation dated 04.03.2025



(Annexure P-3) but till date the police authorities have failed to take any action in this regard.

4. Notice of motion.
5. Mr. S.K.Panwar, Addl. AG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 and submits that in case any such representation submitted by the petitioners, both of whom are unmarried but living together of their own volition, is pending with the police authorities, the same will be looked into and decided by competent authority as per law, at the earliest.
6. In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the present petition is hereby disposed of with direction to respondent No.2 i.e. Superintendent of Police, Karnal to consider and decide representation dated 04.03.2025 (Annexure P-3) submitted by the petitioners in accordance with law within a period of next 10 days from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.
7. However, any observations made in this order will not be construed as an expression of opinion regarding the validity of the relationship between the petitioners. It is also made clear that respondents No.4 to 6 are at liberty to avail appropriate remedy available to them under law against the petitioners. In case the petitioners have committed any offence, law will take its own course.

10.03.2025

Priyanka Thakur

(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No