



CRM-M-12758-2025 -1-

208

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-12758-2025

Date of Decision:- 07.05.2025

RAJNI PARPAGGA ALIAS RAJNI BALA

...Petitioner

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Mr. Manjinder Singh Saini, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Japjot Singh, AAG, Punjab.
Ms. Navyug Geet Brar, Advocate for
Mr. Sarju Puri, Advocate for complainant.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J. (Oral)

1. Petitioner has filed instant petition under Section 482 read with Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.20 dated 20.02.2025 under Sections 108 and 62 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Section 25-54-59 of Arms Act registered at Police Station Sri Anandpur Sahib, Punjab (Annexure P-1).

2. Facts of the case are, complainant Raj Kumar gave his statement that his elder daughter Neha Soni @ Nancy was married to Tejinder Singh in the year 2015. Out of this wedlock, she was having two sons one 7 years old and another 5 years old. His son-in-law Tejinder Singh @ Prince was in a habit of consuming drugs and caused harassment to his daughter for a long time and also used to beat her. He was further told that Tejinder Singh @ Prince was having illicit relationship with Rajni (present petitioner). His daughter tried to make her husband understand but he did not listen. Sometimes, her daughter also requested her husband to get



separate. Even he tried to make him understand for the sake of their children but no fruitful results could be achieved. On the previous day, he received call from his son-in-law that his daughter had fallen down from the stairs and had received injuries and she was admitted in Fortis Hospital, Mohali. His daughter was admitted in the hospital in serious condition and Doctor disclosed that she had received fire arm injuries. He came to know that due to the act and conduct of his son-in-law and said lady victim has taken this grave step and both of them are responsible for the same.

3. Learned counsel for petitioner argued that she was granted interim relief and she has already joined the investigation. Allegations levelled against petitioner are false and without any basis. Without proper appreciation of facts, her anticipatory bail application was declined by learned Additional Sessions Judge vide order dated 28.02.2025 (Annexure P-2). In-fact, petitioner was employee at the shop of husband of deceased who is a Gold smith. Victim suspected illicit relations between them. No specific role is attributed to the petitioner. Even if facts of the case are considered, there is no abetment on the part of petitioner. She is not required for any other purpose. Therefore, her anticipatory bail application may be allowed.

4. Detailed status report has been filed confirming the facts narrated in the FIR. It is pointed out that during investigation spot inspection was done and from the 3rd floor of the house of Tejinder Singh @ Prince, one revolver of .32 bore loaded with 4 live cartridges and one empty cartridge was recovered and taken into police possession. On further inspection one suicide note from Almirah lying at the first floor of the house



was recovered where victim had named her husband Tejinder Singh and Rajni Bala for having illicit relations. She further stated that they were indulging in sale of drugs because of this she was mentally disturbed. She even asked for divorce. Tejinder Singh forced her to live in relationship but on that account she was fed-up and took this step. It was further mentioned in the said note that her mother-in-law, father-in-law and brother-in-law are innocent. Said suicide note was sent to FSL Laboratory for the purpose of comparison of handwriting and signatures with the admitted writing. Tejinder Singh @ Prince was arrested on 20.02.2025. During interrogation, said revolver was found in the name of one of his friend Sajjan Singh. Victim was admitted in Fortis Hospital on 20.02.2025 and she succumbed to the injuries during treatment and information regarding her death was received on 22.02.2025. Post-mortem report was procured. It is further submitted that during investigation it was surfaced that one plot measuring 9.2 marlas in village Bani was purchased jointly by Rajni Bala and Tejinder Singh @ Prince. Husband of present petitioner was also examined who confirmed that his wife Rajni Bala left him on 09.01.2023 and started residing with Tejinder Singh @ Prince. He further claimed that on 28.02.2023 he was attacked by Rajni Bala along with four other persons including Tejinder Singh @ Prince. It further revealed that on 17.02.2025 said Tejinder Singh @ Prince along with Rajni Bala had gone to attend *Kumbh Mela* at Prayagraj. On 18.02.2025, victim shot herself with the revolver of Sajjan Singh and died on 22.02.2025 during treatment. It was submitted that anticipatory bail application filed by petitioner deserves dismissal. However, learned counsel representing State alleged that petitioner had joined investigation and is not



further required.

5. I have considered the aforesaid factual position. As per detailed status report, referred above, present petitioner is specifically named and specific role is attributed to her regarding her active interference in the matrimonial life of the deceased victim. Petitioner is named in the suicide note along with victim's husband Tejinder Singh @ Prince who is already arrested by the police. As per status report, said incident of firing took place when Tejinder Singh @ Prince and present petitioner had gone to *Kumbh Mela*. Fire arm used in the occurrence also belonged to Sajjan Singh. Present petitioner is also a married lady and her husband was joined in investigation. He has also levelled serious allegations regarding her involvement with Tejinder Singh @ Prince husband of the deceased victim.

6. Considering the aforesaid factual position, thorough investigation is required. Therefore, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Consequently, interim relief already granted in her favour vide order dated 06.03.2025 stands vacated and the anticipatory bail filed by petitioner is declined.

6. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly as well.

07.05.2025

snd

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No.

Whether reportable:

Yes/No