



**205 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

RA-CW-140-2024 IN CWP-27666-2017

Date of Decision: 19.09.2025

Manjeet and Another

...Petitioners

Versus

Union of India and Others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Manjeet and Mr. Vijay Singh
(petitioners in person).

Mr. Sandeep Bhatia, Senior Panel Counsel
for Union of India-respondents.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The applicants through instant application are seeking review of order dated 14.02.2024 whereby their petition was dismissed on the ground that they have secured 76 Marks whereas last selected candidate had secured 76.5 under unreserved category.

2. The petitioners claim that two seats are still lying vacant, thus, they should be adjusted against vacant seats.

3. Mr. Sandeep Bhatia, Senior Panel Counsel submits that as per his instructions, no seat is lying vacant. The last selected candidate had secured 77 Marks though in order dated 14.02.2024 it was noticed that last selected candidate had secured 76.5 Marks.

4. The applicants have not pointed out any ground compelling the Court to review its order dated 14.02.2024. There is no factual or legal infirmity in the said order warranting review.

5. In the wake of above discussion and findings, the instant application deserves to be dismissed and accordingly dismissed.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

19.09.2025
Prince Chawla

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No