



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

205

CRM-M-12938-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision: 12.11.2025

Pardeep Kumar Goyal

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and Another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. A.S. Bathindewala, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Manipal Singh Atwal, DAG Punjab

Mr. Ankit Kaushal, Advocate for respondent No.2

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 BNSS for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.312 dated 03.10.2023, under Sections 420 & 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Division B, Police Commissionerate, Amritsar

2. On 01.05.2025, this Court had passed the following order:-

“The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.312 dated 03.10.2023, under Sections 420 & 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Division B, Police Commissionerate Amritsar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as per the prosecution version, the petitioner along with co-accused had invested a sum of Rs.19 lacs of the complainant in a multi trading company. However, the petitioner has not embezzled any amount. He further submits that the complainant is a resident of Jalandhar but the present FIR had been registered at Amritsar and the complainant has successfully got this FIR registered with his political influence while the old transactions are stated to have taken place at Bathinda.

List on 17.07.2025.

In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to appear before the SHO/Investigating Officer to join investigation as and when required and in the event of his arrest, he shall be

released on interim bail on his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of SHO/Investigating Agency, subject to the following conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of the BNSS [erstwhile Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.]:-

- i) that the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;
- ii) that the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;
- iii) that the petitioner shall not leave India without the prio permission of the Court;
- iv) such other condition as may be imposed under sub-section (3) of Section 480, as if the bail were granted under that section.”

3. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the afore-mentioned order, the petitioner has not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, he shall make himself available without demur.
4. Learned State counsel on instructions affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioner and cooperating with the investigating agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.
5. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 01.05.2025 granting interim bail to him, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 482(2) BNSS.
6. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to him.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

12.11.2025

M.Kamra

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No