



CWP-6273-2025

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

137

CWP-6273-2025

Date of Decision: 06.03.2025

Sumer Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: - Mr. Sunil Kumar Nehra "Sirsa", Advocate for the petitioner

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Additional Advocate General, Haryana

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of order dated 30.07.2024 (Annexure P-7) whereby his service has been dispensed with without holding departmental inquiry.

2. Mr. Sunil Kumar Nehra "Sirsa", Advocate submits that petitioner has been dismissed from service without complying with the procedure prescribed for dismissal of a police officer. The petitioner was appointed under Section 21 of the Haryana Police Act, 2007 (for short '**2007 Act**') and as per Section 21(2)(b) of 2007 Act, an SPO carries same powers, privileges immunities and is liable to same duties and responsibilities as an ordinary police officer.

3. On being confronted with the fact that if petitioner is at par, as claimed by him, with police officers, he is required to file appeal before the

Appellate Authority, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to



CWP-6273-2025

-2-

withdraw the present petition with permission to avail remedies as permissible by law.

4. Dismissed as withdrawn as aforesaid liberty.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

06.03.2025
Mohit Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No