



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-12579-2025
DECIDED ON: 22.07.2025**

HARDEEP SINGH

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. R.S. Sekhon, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajiv Verma, Senior DAG Punjab

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

The instant petition U/s 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita 2023 for the grant of anticipatory bail in a case FIR No. 21 dated 28.01.2025 under Section 125/351(2)/191(3)/190 of The Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Section 27 of Arms Act 1959, registered at Police Station Sadar Fazilka, District Fazilka (Annexure P-1).

This Court vide order dated 06.03.2025, while issuing notice of motion, directed the petitioner to join the investigation.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in pursuance to the order dated 05.05.2025, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned State counsel does not controvert the said fact and further submits that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required.

Considering the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel and the fact that the petitioner has already joined the investigation and nothing is to be recovered from him, this petition deserves to be allowed.

In the light of above, the petitioner is directed to be released on anticipatory bail subject on furnishing of personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS, which are reproduced below:-

‘When the High Court or the Court of Session makes a direction under sub-section (1), it may include such conditions in such directions in the light of the facts of the particular case, as it may think fit, including-

(i) a condition that the person shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;

(ii) a condition that the person shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

(iii) a condition that the person shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court;

(iv) such other condition as may be imposed under sub-section (3) of section 480, as if the bail were granted under that section.’

In the aforesaid terms, the present petition stands allowed.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

22.07.2025

Meenu

Whether speaking/reasoned :Yes/No

Whether reportable :Yes/No