



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

343

Date of decision: 07.03.2025

CWP-17902-2002

ISHWAR CHAND

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CWP-8381-2003

ISHWAR CHAND

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ


Present:- Ms. Bhagyashri Setia, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. P.C. Goyal, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

Mr. Kartikey Chaudhary, Advocate for
Mr. Samrat Malik, Advocate
for respondents No. 21 to 23.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

Since similar issue is involved in the present writ petitions,
the same are being decided by this common order, with the consent of

**CWP-17902-2002 and connected petition**

-2-

the parties.

For the facility of reference, facts are, however, taken from ***CWP-17902 of 2002*** titled as ***“Ishwar Chand versus State of Haryana and others”***. The above said writ petition has been filed raising a challenge to the order dated 31.10.2002 (Annexure P-12) whereby the petitioner(s) had been reverted from the promotional post of Haryana Forest Service Class II to the post of Forest Ranger.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner(s) contends that she has no further instructions from the petitioner(s).

Notice was issued to the petitioner(s), however, as per the report, petitioner is no longer working with the Department as he stands retired. Hence, the whereabouts of the petitioner are not traceable at this juncture. It seems that the petitioner(s) have no further subsisting interest in pursuing the present writ petitions.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

Liberty is, however, granted to the petitioner(s) and/or the LR's to seek revival of the present writ petition, if any grievance still survives.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

MARCH 07, 2025

Vishal sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No