



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

115

CWP-6310-2025.

Date of Decision: 06.03.2025.

Gaurav Mamik

....Petitioner.

VERSUS

Canara Bank and another

....Respondents.

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA**

Present: Mr. Manish Jain, Advocate
Mr. Manan Jain, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rakshit Gupta, Advocate for
Mr. Rakesh Gupta, Advocate for respondent-Bank.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has *inter alia* challenged the sale notice dated 11.02.2025 (Annexure P-1) issued under Section 13(4) of the SARFAESI Act, 2002.

2. Learned counsel for the respondent-Bank submits that in pursuance to the impugned notice, no bid has been received, although the time for deposit of the earnest money was 05.03.2025 and, therefore, the sale shall not take place.

3. After hearing learned counsel for the parties, we are of the view that the grievance of the petitioner appears to have been substantially redressed.

4. At this juncture, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he wants to withdraw the writ petition at this stage.
5. The petition stands dismissed as withdrawn at this stage.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

06.03.2025
jitender

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/ No

Whether Reportable : Yes/ No