



107

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-2255-2025
Decided on : 11.03.2025

Anjali and another

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: Mr. Barjinder Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Amandeep Singh, DAG, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1.

In compliance with the order dated 06.03.2025 of this Court, although counsel for the petitioners has submitted the marriage photographs of the petitioners in the registry, however, the same are not clear enough to enable the Court to confirm that they pertain to the marriage of the petitioners. Therefore, said photographs are not taken on record.
2.

Prayer in the instant petition, filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of direction to the official respondents to provide protection of lives and liberty to the petitioners, who have married against the wishes of the private respondents.

The details, relevant for decision of the present petition, are tabulated as under:-

	Petitioner No. 1	Petitioner No. 2
Name(s)	Anjali	Amritpal Singh
Age (in years)	19 years	22 years
Date of marriage	22.02.2025	
Rite	Sikh rites	
Represented on	24.02.2025	
Submitted to	Superintendent of Police, Amritsar (Rural)	
Family members arrayed as	Respondents No.4 to 7	

3.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners



have solemnized marriage against the wishes of their family members, who are threatening and interfering in the matrimonial life of the petitioners. It is the first marriage of both the petitioners. Hence, the petitioners are seeking protection in that regard and have approached this Court by way of filing the instant petition. They have also submitted a representation dated 24.02.2025 (Annexure P-4), to respondent No.2 – Superintendent of Police, Amritsar (Rural), wherein, they have expressed their apprehension.

4. Notice of motion to the official respondents only.

5. On asking of the Court, learned State counsel accepts notice on behalf of the respondent – State. Let requisite copies of the complete paper book be supplied to learned State counsel during course of the day.

6. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 – Superintendent of Police, Amritsar (Rural), to look into the representation dated 24.02.2025 (Annexure P-4), *qua* threat perception, and if there is any substance in it, take necessary steps, in accordance with law, to ensure that the lives and liberty of the petitioners are not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents.

7. However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to have taken place between the parties and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

8. Besides above, while deciding the representation dated 24.02.2025 (Annexure P-4), respondent No.2, shall confirm whether the contention of performing of marriage by the petitioners is true/correct or not ?

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

March 11, 2025

J.Ram

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No