

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

233A

CRM-M-13107-2025
Decided on: 19.08.2025

Gurjant Singh @ Sona

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. H.S. Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Jasdev Singh Thind, DAG, Punjab.

ANOOP CHITKARA J.

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
213	15.10.2021	Chatiwind, District Amritsar, Punjab	379-B, 395, 201 IPC and Sections 25, 27, 54/59 of Arms Act

Seeking quashing of proclamation order dated 06.11.2023 (Annexure P-2), the petitioner has come up before this court under Section 528 BNSS 2023.

2. The accused could not be served through the ordinary process, including summons, bailable warrants, and even non-bailable warrants. The concerned court finally proceeded against the petitioner under section 82 of CrPC and declared the petitioner a proclaimed offender vide order dated 06.11.2023.
3. The petitioner seeks to quash by stating in paragraph 6 that he did not know his being arraigned as an accused. Ld. counsel submitted that Coordinate Bench of this court had granted interim bail to the petitioner vide order dated 10.03.2025 after considering the absence of knowledge.
4. The stand taken by the petitioner was justiciable for the purpose of bail; however, the same stand is not at all justiciable to seek quashing of the proclamation order and subsequent proceedings. The stand taken by the accused qua absence of knowledge needs evidence with an opportunity to the State to test the credibility of such evidence. Thus, the petitioner fails to make out a case.

5. **Petition dismissed.** All pending applications, if any, stand closed.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

19.08.2025
anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes
Whether reportable: **No.**