

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

2025-PHHC-172432



(216)

FAO-2659-2000 (O&M)

Date of Decision:-10.12.2025

DARSHAN SINGH

... Appellant

Versus

THE PEPSU ROAD TRANSPORT CORPORATION AND OTHERS

... Respondents

:-

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRINDER AGGARWAL

Present: None for the appellant.

Mr. Aman Sharma, Advocate,
for respondent No.1-PRTC.

Mr. Neeraj Khanna, Advocate, for
for the respondent/Insurance Company.

VIRINDER AGGARWAL, J. (Oral)

1. No one has put in appearance on behalf of the appellant. Same was the situation on the previous date also. Notice was issued to the appellant, which has been received back served but even thereafter none has appeared on behalf of the appellant, so it seems that appellant is not ready to pursue the instant appeal.
2. In such circumstances, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the instant appeal on the docket, indefinitely.
3. Accordingly, the instant appeal stands dismissed for want of prosecution with liberty to the appellant to revive the same, if have any grievance from this order, within a period of three months from today.
4. As a natural corollary, since the main case stands disposed of, all pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of, as no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping them pending.

(VIRINDER AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

10th December, 2025
S. Pathania