



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

311

CRM-M-13179-2025

Date of decision: May 08, 2025

BAJINDER SINGH

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Arun Chander Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Karan Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Neeraj Sharma, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the instant petition is for quashing of FIR No.167, dated 06.12.2019, under Sections 406, 420, 506, 34 of IPC (Section 370 of IPC and Section 24 of Immigration Act, 1983 added later on), registered at Police Station Ram Nagar Karnal, District Karnal (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 26.02.2025 (Annexure P-4).

2. Vide order dated 11.03.2025 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate on 09.04.2025 to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

3. Report has since been received from learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Karnal, in pursuance of the directions of this Court, wherein the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and



CRM-M-13179-2025

-2-

confirmed. As per the report, compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will, and the complainants have also made statement to the effect that they would have no objection if the FIR qua the accused-petitioner is quashed.

4. The trial Court has annexed photocopies of the statements of the parties, along with its report.

5. Short status report by way of an affidavit of the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Women, Karnal on behalf of the respondent-State has been filed in the Registry of this Court, which is taken on record subject to all just exceptions. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioner and respondent No.2 is the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

6. In view of the report of the learned trial Court, and the principles laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court in *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed.

7. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

May 08, 2025
Jaspreet Kaur

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>