

2025:PHHC:119663



CRM-M-12693 of 2025 (O & M)

::1::

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH
(270)

CRM-M-12693 of 2025 (O & M)
Date of decision: 02.09.2025

Jaspreet Singh and ors.

..... Petitioner(s)

V/s

State of Punjab and anr.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Parvesh, Advocate, for
Mr. G.S. Sandhu, Advocate,
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. M.S. Toor, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sandeep Godara, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (Oral)

The prayer in this petition is for quashing of FIR No. 15 dated 07.02.2025 under Sections 109, 3(5) BNS (Sections 61(2), 118(1), 118(2), 324(4) BNS added later on), registered at Police Station Nathana, Bathinda and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 22.10.2024 (Annexure P-2).

Vide order dated 06.05.2025, this Court had directed the parties to appear before Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in

2025:PHHC:119663



CRM-M-12693 of 2025 (O & M)

::2::

terms of certain parameters given in the aforesaid order dated 06.05.2025 with regard to the compromise (Annexure P-2).

In terms of the order dated 06.05.2025 passed by this Court, the parties have appeared before the court of the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Bathinda and as per the report dated 21.07.2025 submitted to this Court, both the parties have got recorded their respective statements in Court.

A perusal of the aforesaid report would show that the parties have effected a genuine compromise without there being any pressure, coercion or undue influence. In view of the compromise there is a remote possibility of the complainant coming forward to support the prosecution case. The powers under Section 482 Cr.PC can be exercised in such like situation in order to prevent unnecessary vagaries of criminal trial to be faced by the parties, when there are remote chances of conviction of the accused. The compromise in question is found to be fully in consonance with the direction issued by the Court in ***Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 and Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr., 2012(4) RCR (Crl.) 543.***

As no injury attracting Section 307 IPC has been caused, there is a little possibility of conviction being recorded under Section 307 IPC.

In view of the aforesaid report of the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Bathinda, accompanied by the joint statement of both the parties, the present FIR No.15 dated 07.02.2025 under Sections 109, 3(5) BNS

2025:PHHC:119663



CRM-M-12693 of 2025 (O & M)

::3::

(Sections 61(2), 118(1), 118(2), 324(4) BNS added later on), registered at Police Station Nathana, Bathinda and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioners herein.

Petition stands disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

September 02, 2023
sukhpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No