

103 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-12213-2025
Date of decision: 05.03.2025

Arun KumarPetitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Munish Kumar Garg, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Bhawna Thakur, Advocate for respondent No.2.

Ms. Geeta Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking pre arrest bail in case bearing FIR
No.336 dated 27.09.2024 (Annexure P-1) under Sections 406/420 of IPC (Sections
467/468/471 of IPC added later on by the Investigating Agency) registered at
Police Station Civil Line Jind, District Jind.

Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that the FIR
(*supra*) has been registered on account of monetary dispute between the parties
and now, with the intervention of the respectables, the entire dispute has been
settled. The amount in question has been paid to the respondents. The petition
seeking quashing of FIR (*supra*) on the basis of compromise bearing CRM-M
No.12247 of 2025 has already been filed and the same is listed before this Court
for today itself.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Ms. Geeta Sharma, DAG, Haryana, who
is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

CRM-M-12213-2025

-2-

Ms. Bhawna Thakur, Advocate puts in appearance on behalf of respondent No.2 and affirm the factum of compromise and submits that she has no objection in case, the present petition is allowed.

In view of the settlement between the parties, the present petition is disposed of and the petitioner is directed to appear before the Investigating Officer within a period of two weeks from today and thereafter, as directed by the Investigating Officer. In the event of arrest, the petitioner will be admitted to interim anticipatory bail on furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of Investigating/Arresting Officer. The petitioner shall cooperate with the investigation/Arresting Officer and abide by the conditions as provided under Section 482(2) of BNSS (earlier Section 438(2) of Cr.P.C.).

If the Arresting Officer does not permit the petitioner to join the investigation, he/she would appear before the learned Illaqa Magistrate, who would then summon the Arresting Officer and direct him to join the petitioner in the investigation, in terms of the order of this Court.

Nothing observed hereinabove shall be construed as an expression of opinion by this Court and learned trial Court shall decide the case on its own merits, strictly in accordance with law.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

05.03.2025
Neha

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No