



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

276

CRWP-2259-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision: April 29th, 2025

Som Nath and others
.....Petitioners

Versus
UT Chandigarh and others
.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Yogesh Bansal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rahil Mahajan, Additional Public Prosecutor,
U.T. Chanidgarh.

Mr. Abhishek Singla, Advocate
for respondents No.8 and 9.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

Ms. Anjali Khosla, Advocate, for Mr. Yadvinder Singh, Advocate, has entered appearance on behalf of respondent No.11 and filed power of attorney, which is taken on record.

- 2. Learned standing counsel for U.T. Chandigarh submits that the official respondents looked into the complaint (Annexure P-6), however, it was found during inquiry that it was essentially a dispute involving monetary transactions between the parties and hence, it was consigned to the records.
- 3. In the circumstances, no ground is made out for issuance of directions as prayed for.
- 4. The petition stands dismissed.
- 5. However, petitioners are at liberty to avail of alternate remedies under law, if they so desire.

April 29th, 2025
Puneet
(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No