



101

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-766-2001 (O&M)
Date of decision: 07.08.2025**

Anil Kumar and others

... Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: None for petitioner No.1.

Mr. Najjar Singh, Advocate
for petitioners No.2 to 7.

Mr. Vikrant Pamboo, Addl. AG, Haryana
for respondents No.1 & 3.

Mr. Prince Singh, Advocate
for respondent No.2-MC, Faridabad.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

1. Present civil writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of prohibition or any other appropriate writ in the nature of *mandamus*, *certiorari*, *quo-warranto*, prohibiting and forbidding the respondents from retrenching the services of the petitioners in violation of Sections 25-F, 25-FFF & 25-G of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

2. In compliance of the order dated 21.07.2025, affidavit of Dharendra Khadgata, IAS, Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Faridabad has been filed in the Court today, which is taken on record and copy thereof has been supplied to learned counsel appearing for petitioners No.2 to 7.

3. Learned counsel for respondent No.2 submits that present petition has been rendered infructuous.

4. Learned counsel appearing for petitioners No.2 to 7 affirms the aforesaid fact.

5. In view of the above, instant petition is disposed of having been rendered as infructuous.

07.08.2025
vishnu

[HARPREET SINGH BRAR]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No