



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-6114-2025

Date of Decision : **10.03.2025**

MEENAKSHI

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

UHBVNL AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. Amit Bansal, Advocate and
Ms. Priyanka Garg, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(Oral)

1. Through the instant writ petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, a prayer is made for issuance of a *mandamus* upon the competent authority amongst the respondents, to issue new electricity connection to the petitioner.

2. A perusal of the instant petition reflects that, in fact it is a misconceived motion, inasmuch as, despite the petitioner being seized of an alternate and efficacious statutory remedy for redressal of her grievance before the Consumer Grievances Redressal Forum concerned, yet she has sidetracked the said remedy and have straightway accessed this Court, through instituting the present petition.

3. Faced with the above difficulty, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission of this Court to withdraw the instant petition,

with liberty to approach the Consumer Grievances Redressal Forum concerned, for redressal of his grievance.

4. **Dismissed as withdraw** with the aforesaid liberty.

March 10, 2025
dharamvir

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No