



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

106

**CRWP No.2124 of 2025
Date of decision: 04.03.2025**

KANCHAN

.... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present : Mr. Parveen Chauhan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Ruchika Sabherwal, Sr. D.A.G., Punjab.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ of mandamus directing the official respondents No.1 and 2 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner as well as her family members at the hands of respondents No. 4 & 5 and to take action on their representations dated 22.02.2025 (Annexures P-1 and P-2).

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has recently filed a representation on 22.02.2025 before the D.G.P, Punjab and Senior Superintendent of Police, Tarn Taran (Annexures P-1 & P-2) raising her grievances as mentioned in the present petition but the same has not yet been considered and no action has been taken thereon. He further submitted that at this stage, the petitioner would be satisfied if appropriate directions are issued to respondent No. 2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Tarn Taran to decide the aforesaid representation filed by the petitioners and take necessary action thereon.



3. Notice of motion.

4. Ms. Ruchika Sabherwal, Sr. D.A.G., Punjab, who is present in Court, has accepted the notice on behalf of the respondents-State and submits that the needful will be done in due course. Let a complete set of the paperbook be supplied to her during the course of the day.

5. In view of the limited prayer made by the petitioner, without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of at this stage with a direction to respondent No. 2 to decide the aforesaid representation dated 22.02.2025 (Annexure P-2) of the petitioners within a period of 15 days from today, by passing a speaking order in accordance with law. Needless to say, if any threat is found to the petitioner as well as her family members, the official respondents would provide them adequate security in order to protect their lives and liberty.

04.03.2025

Jyoti-IV

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No.
Whether reportable :	Yes/No