



**262 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRR-513-2024 (O & M)
Date of decision: 18.02.2025**

AMARJIT SINGH DHINGRA

...PETITIONER

V/S

NIHAL SINGH AND ANOTHER

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Nitesh Sharma, DAG, Punjab.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

1. Present revision petition has been preferred against the impugned judgment dated 18.08.2023 passed by learned Sessions Judge, Bathinda, whereby the judgment of conviction and order of sentence dated 02.03.2023 passed by learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Ludhiana have been upheld in a complaint case filed under Section 138 of NI Act, 1881.

2. Despite, the case having been called twice, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. It appears that neither the petitioner nor his counsel is interested in pursuing the present petition.

3. Under these circumstances, having left with no other option, the present petition stands dismissed for want of prosecution accordingly.

4. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, also stand(s) disposed of.

**(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE**

February 18, 2025

manisha

- (i) Whether speaking/reasoned
- (ii) Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No