

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

2025.PHHC.105101



(203)

**CRM-M-12104-2025
Decided on : 13.08.2025**

Jagdeep Singh

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Ms. Meenakshi Thakur, Advocate for the petitioner (s).

Mr. Jaypreet Singh, DAG, Punjab.

Sumeet Goel (Oral):

1. Petitioner is seeking the concession of anticipatory bail under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 in cross-case Rapat No.11 dated 09.07.2024 under Sections 118(1), 118(2), 3(4) of the BNS in FIR No.164 dated 22.06.2024 under Sections 323, 324, 506, 148, 149 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (Sections 307, 326 of IPC added lateron), registered at Police Station Kotwali Kapurthala.

2. On 12.03.2025, the following order was passed:

“Petitioner is seeking the concession of anticipatory bail in cross-case Rapat No.11 dated 09.07.2024 under Sections 118(1), 118(2), 3(4) of the BNS in FIR No.164 dated 22.06.2024 under Sections 323, 324, 506, 148, 149, 307, 326 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Kotwali Kapurthala.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, in compliance of order dated 04.03.2025, has placed on record copy of Rapat No.11 dated 09.07.2024.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that it is case of version and cross-version. In fact, it was the petitioner, who had lodged the FIR in question on 22.06.2024. In the occurrence in question, the petitioner had been inflicted a head injury by the complainant party. Learned counsel for the petitioner has asserted that evidently a false and exaggerated version has been brought forth against the petitioner and the only injury attributed to the petitioner is a grievous injury on the little right hand finger, which in all probabilities could be self-inflicted.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. H.S. Deol, Senior Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent.

Adjourned to 10.07.2025.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and appear before the investigating agency as and when called upon to do so. In the event of his arrest, he shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) BNSS/438(2) Cr.P.C.”

3. Learned State counsel (on instructions from ASI Jasvir Singh) has submitted that the petitioner has joined investigation but his custodial interrogation is required for recovery of the weapon used in the offence.

4. Having heard learned counsel for the rival parties and upon perusal of the record, especially keeping in view the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated therein and his custodial interrogation is only required for recovery of the weapon, this Court is inclined to confirm the order dated 12.03.2025 granting interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner is hereby made absolute, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) of BNSS.

5. Ordered accordingly.

6. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.
7. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS or upon showing any other sufficient cause.
8. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.
9. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

August 13, 2025

Naveen

**(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned :

Yes/No

Whether Reportable :

Yes/No