



381 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-10568-2003 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 10.12.2025

Rajpal Singh and Others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and Others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Baljeet Singh Sidhu, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Himmat Singh Sidhu, Advocate,
Mr. Gurinder Singh, Advocate,
Mr. Gurneet Singh Budhiraja, Advocate and
Ms. Mannat Khurana, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Arun Jindal, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioners through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India are seeking appointment as Constable from the date junior SPOs have been absorbed as Constable.
2. Learned State counsel submits that petitioners have already been appointed as Constable. Their grievance stands addressed.
3. Faced with this, learned Senior counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners may be granted benefit of past service rendered as SPO in the light of judgment of this Court in '*Lal Mohd. and 25 Others Versus State of Punjab*', 1997(2) SCT 139. They are not entitled to back wages, however, are entitled to benefit of past service on notional basis.
4. The petition stands ***disposed of*** with a direction to respondents to consider petitioners' claim for past service as per

judgments of this Court in *Lal Mohd. (Supra), Sukhjeet Singh and others Vs. The State of Punjab and others, CWP No. 9936 of 2017* and *Harbans Lal Vs. State of Punjab, CWP No.2371 of 2010*.

5. Let the needful be done within six months from today.
6. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

10.12.2025
Prince Chawla

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No