



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

792

CWP-18657-2004

Date of decision: 24.04.2025

Ramesh Lal Mahi

...Petitioner

Versus

PSEB, Patiala and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Parminder Singh-I, Advocate for the respondents.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer made in the present petition for directing the respondents to appoint the petitioner on compassionate grounds, might not, due to efflux of time, even be surviving.
2. Learned counsel appearing for the respondents states that the ground for rejection was that the petitioner was the married younger brother of the deceased and thus did not fall within the policy, to which there was rebuttal by filing any replication.
3. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of, reserving the petitioner with a liberty to get it revived, in case *lis* still subsists.

**(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

24.04.2025**Hemant**

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No