



**250 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-11972-2025 (O&M)  
Date of Decision:21.08.2025**

**PAWANDEEP SINGH BHATTI AND OTHERS      ...Petitioners  
Vs.**

**STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER      ...Respondents**

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK JAIN**

Present:      Ms. Harmilonjot Kaur, Advocate for  
                 Mr. Pratap Singh Gill, Advocate  
                 for the petitioner.

Mr. Japjot Singh, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Ankush Rampal, Advocate  
for respondent No.2.

**ALOK JAIN, J. (Oral)**

1.            The present petition has been filed seeking quashing of FIR No.68 dated 29.08.2024 under Sections 406 and 498-A of the Indian Penal Code, 1860. registered at Police Station Women, District Police Commissionerate, Ludhiana (Annexure P-1), and all other subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of the compromise deed dated 13.01.2025 (Annexure P-2).

2.            Keeping in view the fact that the parties entered into a compromise, vide order dated 25.07.2025 passed by this Court, directed the parties to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court for getting their statements recorded in that regard. Pursuant thereto, a report dated 13.08.2025 has been received from the Judicial Magistrate 1<sup>st</sup> Class, Ludhiana, stating that the compromise arrived at between the parties is



voluntary and the same is without any pressure, coercion or undue influence.

3. Learned State Counsel and learned counsel for respondent No. 2 admit the factum of compromise and submit that they have no objection to quashing of the FIR on that basis.

4. Perusal of the aforesaid report establishes that the parties have amicably settled their dispute, and continuance of criminal proceedings in such a situation will be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate conviction are bleak. The power under Section 482 Cr.P.C. can be exercised in such matters. It has been held by Supreme Court of India in cases ***Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another*** 2012(10) SCC 303 and ***Narinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another*** 2014(6) SCC 406 that criminal cases having overwhelmingly civil character, particularly those arising out of commercial transactions or matrimonial relationships or family disputes, should be quashed when the parties have resolved their disputes among themselves in a *bona fide* manner.

5. Consequently, this petition is allowed. FIR No.68 dated 29.08.2024 under Sections 406 and 498-A of the Indian Penal Code, 1860. registered at Police Station Women, District Police Commissionerate, Ludhiana (Annexure P-1), and all other subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of the compromise deed dated 13.01.2025 (Annexure P-2), are hereby quashed *qua* the petitioners, subject to payment of cost of Rs. 30,000/- to be deposited jointly by the petitioners and Rs. 20,000/- to be deposited by respondent No.2 within one month from today in ***Sadhna Society for the Mentally Handicapped, Near Housing Board Chowk, Raen***



***Basera Building, Manimajra, Sector 13, Chandigarh.***

**CRM-30713-2025**

The present application has been filed under Section 528 B.N.S.S for release of demand draft for an amount of Rs.8,00,000/- deposited by the petitioner with the Registrar General of this Court, in compliance of order dated 04.03.2025 passed by this Court.

In light of the final order passed in the instant petition, the said amount be released in favour of respondent No.2 along with interest accrued thereon.

CRM stands disposed of.

**(ALOK JAIN)**  
**JUDGE**

**21.08.2025**

**kv**

*Whether speaking/reasoned* : *Yes/No*  
*Whether reportable* : *Yes/No*