



245

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-12101-2025

Date of decision: 04.04.2025

Abhigyan Rana and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Ms. Inderpal Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. R.S. Malik, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Ramesh Kumar Ambavta, AAG, Haryana.

Ms. Harmanpreet Kaur Sahota, Advocate for
Mr. Pankaj Rana, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

None for respondents No.3 & 4.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking quashing of FIR No.0328 dated 27.10.2023 under Sections 148/149/323/506 of IPC registered at Police Station Rai, District Sonapat (Annexure P-1), challan dated 20.12.2023 (Annexure P-2) and order dated 28.10.2024 (Annexure P-3) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise deed/affidavit dated 26.12.2024 (Annexures P-4 & P-5) .

2. On 04.03.2025, the following order was passed:-

“This petition has been filed under Section 528 BNSS, 2023 seeking quashing of FIR No.0328 dated 27.10.2023 under Sections 148/149/323/506 of IPC registered at Police Station Rai, District Sonapat (Annexure P-1) and challan dated 20.12.2025 (Annexure P-2) and order dated 28.10.2024 (Annexure P-3) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 26.12.2024 (Annexure P-4).

Notice of motion.

At this stage, on the asking of the Court, Ms. Geeta Sharma, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1-State and Mr. Pankaj Rana, Advocate accepts notice for respondent No.2 and files his power of attorney, which is taken on record. He admits to the factum of compromise. Copy of the paper book be supplied to them during the course of day.



CRM-M-12101-2025

-2-

Service is complete.

Adjourned to 04.04.2025.

In the meanwhile, the parties are directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate within two weeks from today or any other date convenient to the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate, to get recorded their statements regarding compromise and after recording their statements, learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate is directed to send report regarding the genuineness of compromise and also to intimate whether any PO proceedings are pending against any of the party on or before the date fixed.

Counsel for the petitioners is directed to ensure the presence of respondent Nos.3 and 4, at the time of recording the statement.

A copy of the order be sent to learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate through fax for compliance.”

3. In compliance of the aforesaid order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.

4. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon’ble Supreme Court in **Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466** and **Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63** and Full Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (CrI.) 1052**, this petition is allowed and FIR No.0328 dated 27.10.2023 under Sections 148/149/323/506 of IPC registered at Police Station Rai, District Sonapat (Annexure P-1), challan dated 20.12.2023 (Annexure P-2) and order dated 28.10.2024 (Annexure P-3) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are quashed, *qua* the petitioners.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

04.04.2025

Neha

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No