



338

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-13531-2002 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 05.09.2025

Surinder Kumar Sharma

...Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and Others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Arvind Kumar Bangar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Dinesh Ghai, Advocate and
Ms. Amita Arora, Advocate for respondent No.4.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is assailing promotion of respondent No.4.
2. Mr. Dinesh Ghai, Advocate submits that respondent No.4 has already retired, thus, instant petition has rendered infructuous.
3. In view of the statement of Mr. Dinesh Ghai, Advocate, the petition stands disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.
4. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

05.09.2025
Prince Chawla

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No