



CRM-M-11735-2025 1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

212

CRM-M-11735-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 07.03.2025

Sahun

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU**Present:** Mr. Gaurav Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.Mr. Ashok Sehrawat, DAG, Haryana
assisted by ASI Harmender.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

Present petition has been filed, under Section 483 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') for grant of bail pending
trial to the petitioner in FIR No.20 dated 19.03.2023, registered under
Sections 342, 365, 379-A read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code,
1860 (for short 'IPC') (Sections 476, 411 and 201 IPC added later on), at
Police Station Rohrai, District Rewari.

2. Allegations are that petitioner along with other co-accused with
muffled faces, in furtherance of their common intention wrongfully confined
Mohit @ Danvir and snatched trolla bearing registration No. HR-63-D-1128
and fled away from the spot.

3. Contends that petitioner is in custody since 11.01.2025; final
report under Section 173 Cr.P.C has already been presented on 13.02.2025;
but charges were yet to be considered; thus, conclusion of trial will take
sufficient long time.

4. *Per contra*, learned State counsel vehemently opposed the



CRM-M-11735-2025 2

prayer while submitting that allegations against the petitioner are serious in nature; hence, he does not deserve the concession of bail pending trial.

- 5. Heard both sides and perused the paper-book.
- 6. Concededly, petitioner is in custody since 11.01.2025; final report under Section 173 Cr.P.C has already been presented on 13.02.2025; but charges were yet to be considered. As the conclusion of trial may take sufficient long time; therefore, further incarceration of the petitioner would not serve any purpose.
- 7. Consequently, present petition is allowed; petitioner shall be admitted to bail on furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.
- 8. Petitioner shall appear on each & every date of hearing and to fully co-operate with the learned trial Court without seeking any unnecessary adjournment(s).
- 9. The above observations be not construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.
- 10. It is clarified that in case there is recurrence or any misuse of concession of bail on the part of the petitioner, State would be at liberty to move an appropriate application for recalling of this order.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

07.03.2025	(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
Rajeev (rvs)	JUDGE
Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No