



229

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-11934-2025

Date of decision : 22.07.2025

Gurmanpreet Singh @ Gurman**.....Petitioner****versus****State of Punjab****..... Respondent****CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ**

Present :- Mr. Gaurav Kalsi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Simran Gorla, A.A.G., Punjab.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. Present petition has been filed for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.241 dated 11.11.2024, under Sections 111, 109, 3(5) of BNS and Section 25 of Arms Act, registered at Police Station Lopoke, District Amritsar Rural.

2. Succinctly the facts of the case are that on 11.11.2024, the police party while on patrolling, received a secret information to the effect that Doni and Prabh Dasuwal were professional gangsters and they were promoting communalism and target killing in Punjab, while sitting in abroad. Their two special shooters, namely, Khushpreet Singh and Harpreet Singh had also fired shots in village Gharyala District Tarn Taran. It was further informed that both of them were in their white coloured Verna car and were roaming in that area. If the raid is conducted, they could be arrested along with their weapons. On receiving the information, the raiding party was constituted and they reached at the place as informed. The persons as informed, were found present at the



place disclosed in the car. The petitioner was also found sitting in the car and thus, he was also arrested on 11.11.2024. The petitioner approached the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Amritsar for grant of bail, however, after hearing both the sides, the same was declined by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Amritsar vide order dated 28.01.2025. Aggrieved by the same, the petitioner is before this Court by way of filing the present petition.

3. It has been vehemently contended by counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. He submits that the petitioner was not even named in the FIR, however, he was implicated in this case on the allegation that while conducting raid on the shooters, namely, Khushpreet Singh and Harpreet Singh as alleged in the FIR, the petitioner was also found sitting in the car. It is submitted that petitioner has no criminal antecedent and he has been falsely roped in the present case. He submits that investigation is already complete and the petitioner is behind bars since the date of his arrest. He, thus, in the facts and circumstances of the present case, he deserves to be granted regular bail.

4. Short reply by way of affidavit of Mr. Inderjit Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Rajasansi, Amritsar (Rural) has been filed on behalf of respondent-State, in the Court today, same is taken on record.

5. Per contra, learned State counsel has opposed the submissions made by counsel for the petitioner. She has drawn the attention of this Court to the reply filed and submits that as per the investigation, petitioner is a member of the Gang and he with common intention along with the co-accused, has committed the offence in the



present case. It is submitted that investigation is complete, challan has been presented, however, charges are yet to be framed. She, on instructions, has submitted that the petitioner is not involved in any other case.

6. On hearing counsel for the parties and perusing the record, it is deciphered that the secret information was received regarding co-accused. The petitioner is behind bars since the date of his arrest i.e. 11.11.2024. No recovery of any weapon has been effected from the petitioner, as per the arguments advanced by the counsel for the petitioner. As per the submissions, the petitioner is not involved in any other case. Investigation is already complete and challan has been presented.

7. The veracity of the allegations would be assessed only after the conclusion of the trial and on the appreciation of evidence to be led by both the parties before the trial Court. The trial of the case will take sufficiently long time. Thus, keeping in view the overall facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is of the opinion that learned counsel for the petitioner succeeds in making out a case for grant of regular bail. Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the concerned Court/Duty Magistrate.

22.07.2025
ps-I

(**RAJESH BHARDWAJ**)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No