



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

COC-1082-2025(O&M)
Date of decision: 21.07.2025

Dr. B.B. Kakkar

... Petitioner

Versus

Col Sanjeev Gazmair and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Harshit Jain, Advocate,
for the petitioner (through VC).

Mr. Karan Kumar Jund, Sr. Panel Counsel,
for the respondents-UI.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (ORAL)

1. Affidavit filed on behalf of respondents No.1 & 2 is taken on record. Copy supplied. Registry to tag the same at appropriate place.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in willful violation of the order dated 11.02.2025, passed by this Court in CWP-3784-2025, the respondents appointed Dr. Gaurav Sharma on 08.02.2025 pursuant to the advertisement issued on 04.02.2025, which was in continuation of the earlier advertisement 23.01.2025. Accordingly, he submits, the respondents are liable to be proceeded against in the present petition.

3. On the other hand, learned counsel for the respondents submits that in view of the statement made before the writ Court that as and when any fresh advertisement is/was issued, the petitioner would be considered. He further submits that, as a matter of fact, no fresh advertisement was ever issued by the respondent-Department after 11.02.2025. Thus, no cause is made out against the respondents in the present petition.



- 4. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.
- 5. Admittedly, learned counsel for the petitioner has not been able to point out that any fresh advertisement was issued after 11.02.2025, i.e., the date of the order passed by this Court. In such circumstances, no ground is made out to proceed further in the matter, as such, the petition stands disposed of.
- 6. Rule is discharged.
- 7. Pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(Harkesh Manuja)
Judge

21.07.2025
Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned:	YES/NO
Whether Reportable:	YES/NO