



222

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-12155-2025 (O&M)**

**Date of decision : 07.03.2025**

Ankush Chahal @ Monu

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU**

Present: Mr. Rajesh Nain, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok S. Chaudhary, Additional AG, Haryana  
assisted by Assistant Sub Inspector Balwan Singh.

Mr. Ajay Aggarwal, Advocate for the complainant.

\*\*\*\*\*

**MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.**

Present petition has been filed under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short, 'the BNSS'), for grant of bail pending trial to the petitioner in FIR No.221 dated 22.07.2024, under Sections 115, 191(3), 304, 324(4), 333, 351(2) read with Section 190 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNS') (Sections 111(2)(b), 111(3), 115(2), 309(4) and 61(2) were added and Sections 115, 191(3), 190 and 304 BNS were deleted later on), registered at Police Station Narwana Sadar, District Jind.

2. Allegations are that petitioner along with Gurmeet Ghangas and 18/20 boys trespassed into the liquor shop of complainant armed with sticks; gave beatings to the salesman, namely, Vikas;



snatched the money and also caused damage to articles lying inside the shop.

3. Contends that the petitioner is in custody since 13.09.2024; after investigation, final report under Section 193 of BNSS was presented on 24.10.2024; but charges are yet to be considered. Also contends that matter has been amicably settled between the parties i.e. petitioner as well as *de facto* complainant at their own level.

4. Learned counsel for the complainant, on instructions, has duly acknowledged that matter has been amicably settled between the parties i.e. petitioner as well as *de facto* complainant at their own level.

5. Learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that he is not aware about the compromise effected between the parties but duly acknowledged the factum of custody as well as status of trial. However, learned State counsel opposed the prayer on the ground that allegations are serious in nature.

6. Heard both sides and perused the paper-book.

7. It transpires that petitioner is in custody since 13.09.2024; final report under Section 193 of BNSS was presented on 24.10.2024, but charges are yet to be considered; therefore, conclusion of trial may take sufficient long time. Also transpires that matter has been amicably settled between the parties i.e. petitioner as well as *de facto* complainant at their own level. It is not the objection raised by State that in case, petitioner is released on bail, he may influence the witnesses or hamper the course of trial, in any manner; thus, further incarceration of the petitioner would not serve any purpose.



8. Consequently, present petition is allowed; petitioner shall be admitted to bail on furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.
9. Petitioner shall appear on each & every date of hearing and to fully co-operate with learned trial Court without seeking any unnecessary adjournment(s).
10. The above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.
11. It is clarified that in case there is recurrence or any misuse of concession of bail on the part of the petitioner, State of Haryana would be at liberty to move an appropriate application for recalling of this order.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

**07.03.2025**  
d.gulati

**(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)**  
**JUDGE**

|                               |     |    |
|-------------------------------|-----|----|
| Whether speaking / reasoned : | Yes | No |
| Whether Reportable :          | Yes | No |