



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

111+256

CM No.4285-CWP of 2025 in/and
CWP No.6973 of 2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision:13.08.2025

MEHAR SINGH AND ANR.

.....Petitioners

Vs

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANR.

....Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA*

Present: Mr. Dilbagh Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Komal Sharma, D.A.G., Haryana.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (Oral)

CM No.4285-CWP of 2025

The present application has rendered infructuous as the main case is already listed for today itself.

Ordered accordingly.

CM No.20415-CWP of 2023

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is allowed. Replication to the written statement filed by the official respondents is taken on record.

Main case

[1]. By way of present petition, petitioners seek issuance of an appropriate direction to the official respondents to release amount of compensation for the acquisition of plot owned by the petitioners measuring 163-1/3 square yards each



forming part of Killa/Khasra No.51/21 situated within the area of Mauja Pada Sindhu Colony, Rohtak.

[2]. Learned State counsel submits that the land owned by the petitioners was acquired vide Notification dated 01.01.2002 issued under Section 4 followed by Notification dated 30.12.2002 issued under Section 6 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894. Thereafter award was passed on 29.12.2004. It has also been pointed out by learned State counsel that the compensation amount of Rs.13,611/- was also received by each of the petitioners vide two different cheques dated 21.02.2009 and having failed to invoke their remedies under Sections 18 and 28-A of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, no further compensation can be released to them.

[3]. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and gone through the paper book.

[4]. As a matter of fact in terms of acquisition of land owned by the petitioners, the amount of compensation under the award under Section 11 of the Act was received by them on 21.02.2009 and having failed to invoke the statutory remedies as stipulated under Sections 18 and 28-A of the Act, the present writ petition cannot be entertained. Accordingly, the same stands dismissed, however, the petitioners would be at liberty to avail the aforementioned remedies, in accordance with law.

[5]. Pending application(s), if any shall also stand disposed of.

August 13, 2025

Atik

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No