



**104 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP No.2103 of 2025**

**Date of decision: 04.03.2025**

Mehakdeep Kaur and Another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and Others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. GREWAL**

**Present:** Ms. Hardeep Gandhi, Advocate for  
Mr. Lupil Gupta, Advocate for the petitioners.

**H.S. GREWAL J.**

Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of directions to respondent Nos.2 to 4 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents, who are averse to their live in relationship

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.1 has been in a live in relationship with petitioner No.2 from the last one month. The private respondents have been extending threats of dire consequences to the petitioners as they are averse to their live in relationship. While drawing the attention of this Court to representation dated 20.02.2025 (Annexure P-3), learned counsel has submitted that the matter was reported to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Tarn Taran seeking his help, however, it had been in vain. Learned counsel submits that the petitioners would be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Tarn Taran to look into the aforesaid representation and take appropriate steps at the earliest.



3. Notice of motion to respondents Nos.1 to 4 only.
4. On asking of the Court, Mr. Amandeep Singh Samra, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.
5. In view of the above, without commenting upon the status of live in relationship of the petitioners, the present petition is disposed of with directions to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Tarn Taran to look into the aforesaid representation qua the alleged threat perception and take necessary steps, as may be required, in accordance with law, to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents. However, this direction will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

04<sup>th</sup> March, 2025

Sonia Puri

(H.S. GREWAL)  
JUDGE

*Whether speaking/ reasoned* : Yes / No  
*Whether reportable* : Yes / No