



**102 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-2086-2025

DATE OF DECISION: 03.03.2025

PUNEET KAPAHI

.....PETITIONER

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN**

Present: Mr. Arpan Sabharwal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Kumar Goyal, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (ORAL)

(1) At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he restricts his prayer to the issuance of directions to respondent No.3-District Magistrate-cum-Deputy Commissioner, Jalandhar, to finally dispose of the recommendations made by the jail authorities, Central Jail, Kapurthala, to respondent No.3-District Magistrate-cum-Deputy Commissioner, Jalandhar for grant of parole to the petitioner, as the same has been pending decision since 01.08.2024

(2) Learned State counsel has no objection to the acceptance of the afore alternate prayer made on behalf of the petitioner.

(3) After hearing learned counsel for the parties and going through the record, we find that the decision on the petitioner's request for grant of parole has been unnecessarily delayed by the State which is also against the spirit behind Section 3 of the Punjab Good Conduct Prisoners (Temporary



Release) Act, 1962.

(4) In the light of the above, we dispose of the instant petition with a direction to respondent No. 3-District Magistrate-cum-Deputy Commissioner, Jalandhar, to take a final decision on the petitioner’s request made on 01.08.2024 for the grant of parole within two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, in accordance with law.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

March 03, 2025
nitin

(HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No